

The Linearisation Map in Algebraic K -Theory

Thomas Hüttemann

16.08.2004

*Mathematisches Institut, Universität Göttingen
Bunsenstr. 3–5, D–37073 Göttingen, Germany
e-mail: huetten@uni-math.gwdg.de*

Let X be a pointed connected simplicial set with loop group G . The linearisation map in K -theory as defined by WALDHAUSEN uses G -equivariant spaces. This paper gives an alternative description using presheaves of sets and ABELian groups on the simplex category of X . In other words, the linearisation map is defined in terms of X only, avoiding the use of the less geometric loop group. The paper also includes a comparison of categorical finiteness with the more geometric notion of finite CW objects in cofibrantly generated model categories. The application to the linearisation map employs a model structure on the category of ABELian group objects of retractive spaces over X .

AMS subject classification (2000): primary 19D10, secondary 55U35

Keywords: Algebraic K -Theory of spaces, linearisation, finiteness conditions

to appear in Forum Mathematicum

Introduction

Let W be a simplicial set with a (right) action of the simplicial monoid G . The category of G -equivariant retractive spaces over W is denoted $\mathcal{R}(W, G)$; objects are triples (Y, r, s) where Y is a simplicial set equipped with a G -action, $r: Y \longrightarrow W$ is a G -equivariant map, and s is a G -equivariant section of r . If G is the trivial monoid, it is omitted from the notation.

The category $\mathcal{R}(W, G)$ has been introduced by WALDHAUSEN [8] to study the algebraic K -theory of spaces. For a pointed connected simplicial set X , the K -theory space $A(X)$ is defined as $A(X) := \Omega|h\mathcal{S}_\bullet\mathcal{R}(X)_f|$ where $\mathcal{R}(X)_f$ is a certain subcategory of $\mathcal{R}(X)$ consisting of “finite” objects. It is proved in [8] that one can replace $\mathcal{R}(X)$ by the category $\mathcal{R}(*, G)$ where G is a loop group of X . The latter category is the domain of the linearisation functor which defines a natural map from $A(X)$ to the algebraic K -theory space $K(\mathbf{Z}[G])$ of the simplicial group ring $\mathbf{Z}[G]$.

Since the loop group G is a less geometric object than the simplicial set X itself, it is useful to have a description of the linearisation map purely in terms of spaces over X as suggested by WALDHAUSEN [8, §2.3, p. 400]. The domain of the new linearisation map is the category $\mathcal{R}(X)$, the codomain is the category $\mathcal{R}^{\text{ab}}(X)$ of ABELian group objects in $\mathcal{R}(X)$, and the map is induced by applying the (reduced) free ABELian group functor to the fibres of the retractions $Y \longrightarrow X$.

The goal of this paper is to provide a detailed account of the linearisation map. In particular, we discuss finiteness conditions for objects in $\mathcal{R}^{\text{ab}}(X)$ (not treated in [8, §2.3]), and give an intrinsic definition of weak equivalences in $\mathcal{R}^{\text{ab}}(X)$ (avoiding the use of simplicial $\mathbf{Z}[G]$ -modules as in *loc.cit.*).

In §1 we introduce the relevant categories and functors. In particular, we prove that retractive objects are nothing but presheaves on the simplex category:

Proposition 1.1.3 and 1.2.1. *Suppose W is a simplicial set equipped with an action of the simplicial group G . Then there are equivalences of categories $\mathcal{R}(W, G) \cong \text{Fun}(\text{Simp}_G(W)^{\text{op}}, \text{Set}_*)$ and $\mathcal{R}^{\text{ab}}(W, G) \cong \text{Fun}(\text{Simp}_G(W)^{\text{op}}, \text{Ab})$. Here $\text{Simp}_G(W)$ is the equivariant simplex category of W (Definition 1.1.1), and the superscript “ab” denotes ABELian group objects.*

In §2 we set up the necessary machinery to discuss K -theory. A main ingredient is the model structure on the category of ABELian group objects in $\mathcal{R}(X)$ established by DWYER and KAN [2], and generalised here to cover the equivariant version $\mathcal{R}(W, G)$ as well (Theorem 2.4.2); this allows us to characterise weak equivalences in the category $\mathcal{R}^{\text{ab}}(W, G)$ intrinsically instead of using the pullback procedure suggested in [8, §2.3].

Another advantage of the model category setup is that we can give a conceptual treatment of finiteness conditions (§2.1). An object Y of a model category $\mathcal{C}$ is called *categorically finite* if the representable functor $\mathcal{C}(Y, \cdot)$ commutes with filtered colimits. For $\mathcal{R}(X)$ this recovers the usual notion of a finite object as used in [8, §2.1].

Theorem 2.1.1. *Suppose $\mathcal{C}$ is a cofibrantly generated model category where all generating cofibrations have categorically finite domain and codomain. Then an object is cofibrant and categorically finite if and only if it is a retract of a finite CW object.*

Finally, we prove that the new and old description of the linearisation map are equivalent:

Theorem 2.5.4. *Suppose X is a pointed simplicial set with loop group G . There is a diagram of categories, commuting up to natural isomorphism,*

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathcal{R}^{\text{ab}}(X)_{\text{cf}} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{R}^{\text{ab}}(*, G)_{\text{cf}} \\ \bar{\mathbf{z}}_X \uparrow & & \uparrow \bar{\mathbf{z}}_* \\ \mathcal{R}_{\text{f}}(X) = \mathcal{R}(X)_{\text{cf}} & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{R}(*, G)_{\text{cf}} \end{array}$$

such that the horizontal arrows induce equivalences of K -theory spaces, and the right vertical functor induces the usual linearisation map. The K -theory space of $\mathcal{R}(X)_{\text{cf}}$ is $A(X)$. (The subscript “cf” refers to the subcategories of cofibrant, categorically finite objects.)

Throughout the paper we will freely use the language of model categories [5] [3] and of categories with cofibrations and weak equivalences [8].

1 Retractive Spaces, Presheaves and Linearisation

1.1 The equivariant simplex category and presheaves of sets

We start by generalising the usual simplex category of a simplicial set to the equivariant setting.

1.1.1 Definition. Let G denote a simplicial monoid acting from the right on a simplicial set W . The *equivariant simplex category* of W , denoted $\text{Simp}_G(W)$, is the following category: Objects are the simplices of W , formally pairs (n, w) with n a natural number and $w \in W_n$; a morphism $(m, v) \longrightarrow (n, w)$ is a pair (α, g) where $\alpha: [m] \longrightarrow [n]$ is a morphism in Δ and g is an element of G_m such that $v = W(\alpha)(w) \cdot g$. Composition of morphisms is defined as $(\beta, h) \circ (\alpha, g) := (\beta \circ \alpha, G(\alpha)(h) \cdot g)$.

It is clear from the definition that the category $\text{Simp}_G(W)$ depends co-variantly on W and G ; a semi-equivariant map $(W, G) \longrightarrow (V, H)$ induces a functor $\text{Simp}_G(W) \longrightarrow \text{Simp}_H(V)$.

There is a more conceptual description of the simplex category. Let H be a (discrete) monoid acting from the right on a set S . The *transport category* of S is the category $\text{Tr}_H(S)$ with objects the elements of S , and a morphism $s \longrightarrow t$ is an element $h \in H$ such that $s = t \cdot h$. Composition of morphisms is given by multiplication in H .

The transport category is functorial in S and H . In particular, a G -equivariant simplicial set W gives rise to a simplicial category

$$\text{Tr}: \Delta^{\text{op}} \longrightarrow \text{Cat}, [n] \mapsto \text{Tr}_{G_n}(W_n) .$$

If $\mathcal{C}$ is a category, we associate to a functor $F: \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}} \longrightarrow \text{Cat}$ into the category of (small) categories a new category, the GROTHENDIECK construction $\text{Gr}(F)$ of F . Objects are the pairs (c, x) with c an object of $\mathcal{C}$ and x an object of $F(c)$. A morphism $(c, x) \longrightarrow (d, y)$ consists of a morphism $f: c \longrightarrow d$ in $\mathcal{C}$ and a morphism $\alpha: x \longrightarrow F(f)(y)$ in $F(c)$ with composition given by the formula $(f, \alpha) \circ (g, \beta) := (f \circ g, F(g)(\alpha) \circ \beta)$. The category $\text{Gr}(F)$ is called the lax colimit $F \int \mathcal{C}^{\text{op}}$ in [7, §3].

1.1.2 Lemma. *The category $\text{Simp}_G(W)$ is the GROTHENDIECK construction of the functor $\text{Tr}: \Delta^{\text{op}} \longrightarrow \text{Cat}, [n] \mapsto \text{Tr}_{G_n}(W_n)$.* $\square$

1.1.3 Proposition. *The category $\mathcal{R}(W, G)$ is the category of set-valued presheaves on $\text{Simp}_G(W)$, i.e., $\mathcal{R}(W, G)$ is equivalent to $\text{Fun}(\text{Simp}_G(W)^{\text{op}}, \text{Set}_*)$. The functor corresponding to $(Y, r, s) \in \mathcal{R}(W, G)$ sends $(n, w) \in \text{Simp}_G(W)$ to the fibre $r^{-1}(w)$ over $w \in W_n$. In particular, the category $\mathcal{R}(W, G)$ is complete and cocomplete; limits and colimits are computed fibrewise.*

Proof. An object $Y = (Y, r, s) \in \mathcal{R}(W, G)$ determines a functor

$$\text{Simp}_G(W)^{\text{op}} \longrightarrow \text{Set}_*$$

by sending (n, w) to the set $r^{-1}(w)$ with basepoint given by $s(w)$.

Conversely, starting from a functor F , we define the associated simplicial set Z , in degree n , as the disjoint union of sets $\coprod_{w \in W_n} F(n, w)$. From the definition of $\text{Simp}_G(W)$ one can check that Z is actually a G -equivariant simplicial set. The G -action is specified by the morphisms of type (id, g) ; explicitly, an element $y \in F(n, w)$ of the w -summand of the disjoint union is mapped to the element $F(1, g)(y)$ of the wg -summand. The simplicial structure is similarly encoded by morphisms of the type $(\alpha, 1)$. The constant functor sending everything into the one-point-set has W as associated simplicial set. Thus the canonical maps to and from the one-point-set induce equivariant maps to and from W , thereby making Z into an object of $\mathcal{R}(W, G)$.

A calculation shows that these assignments are actually inverse to each other. We omit the details. $\square$

In a similar way one can show that the category of G -equivariant simplicial sets over W (with no specified section) is equivalent to the category $\text{Fun}(\text{Simp}_G(W)^{\text{op}}, \text{Set})$.

1.1.4 Definition. An *equivariant n -simplex* or an *n -cell* in $\mathcal{R}(W, G)$ is an object of $\mathcal{R}(W, G)$ isomorphic to

$$\Delta[n, w] = \chi_w + \text{id}: (\Delta^n \times G) \amalg W \longrightarrow W$$

where $\chi_w: \Delta^n \times G \longrightarrow W$ is obtained from the characteristic map of the simplex $w \in W_n$ by forcing equivariance. Structural section is the inclusion of W as the second summand. The boundary is the restriction of $\Delta[n, w]$ to the subspace $(\partial\Delta^n \times G) \amalg W$, denoted $\partial\Delta[n, w]$. Similarly, we can define the horns $\Lambda_i[n, w]$.

1.1.5 Proposition. *The equivalence of Proposition 1.1.3 identifies the n -cell $\Delta[n, w] \in \mathcal{R}(W, G)$ with the representable functor $\text{Simp}_G(W)(\cdot, (n, w))_+$.* $\square$

There is another relationship between an object $Y \in \mathcal{R}(W, G)$ and its associated functor $F: \text{Simp}_G(W)^{\text{op}} \longrightarrow \text{Set}_*$ which we describe next. Let H be a discrete group acting on a set S . We define the *homotopy orbit space* of S , denoted S_{hH} , as the nerve of the transport category $\text{Tr}_H S$ of S . This definition is functorial in S and H . If G is a simplicial group acting on a simplicial set Y , we define the *homotopy orbit space of Y* , denoted Y_{hG} , as the diagonal of the bisimplicial set $[n] \mapsto (Y_n)_{hG_n}$. If the action of G on Y is free, there is a weak homotopy equivalence $Y/G \simeq Y_{hG}$.

1.1.6 Theorem. *Let F denote the functor corresponding to $Y \in \mathcal{R}(W, G)$, considered as a functor into the category of sets (not pointed sets). Then $\text{hocolim } F \simeq Y_{hG}$.*

Proof. First note that a set S determines a discrete category; objects are the elements of the set, the only morphisms are identities. Then $NS = S$ as simplicial sets (here and in what follows, N denotes the nerve of a category). Moreover, we can consider any set-valued functor as a functor into the category of (small) categories and thus speak of its GROTHENDIECK construction.

By [7] (Theorem 3.19 and preceding remarks) there are weak equivalences

$$\mathrm{hocolim}(F) = \mathrm{hocolim} NF \simeq N\mathrm{Gr}(F) .$$

Direct calculation shows $\mathrm{Gr}(F) \cong \mathrm{Simp}_G(Y)$. By Lemma 1.1.2 the latter category is the GROTHENDIECK construction of the functor

$$\mathrm{Tr}: \Delta^{\mathrm{op}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Cat}, \quad [n] \mapsto \mathrm{Tr}_{G_n} Y_n$$

which is the simplicial category of transport categories determined by Y . Thus, using [7, Theorem 3.19] again,

$$N\mathrm{Gr}(F) \cong N\mathrm{Gr}(\mathrm{Tr}) \simeq \mathrm{hocolim}_{\Delta^{\mathrm{op}}}(N\mathrm{Tr}) .$$

But the functor $N\mathrm{Tr}: \Delta^{\mathrm{op}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{sSet}$ determines a bisimplicial set, and its homotopy colimit is weakly equivalent to its diagonal [1, XII.4.3] which, by definition, is the homotopy orbit space Y_{hG} . $\square$

1.2 Presheaves of abelian groups and linearisation

The category $\mathcal{R}(W, G)$ is a category with products, given by pull back over W using structural retractions, and $(W, \mathrm{id}, \mathrm{id})$ is a terminal object. Hence it makes sense to speak of the category $\mathcal{R}^{\mathrm{ab}}(W, G)$ of ABELian group objects in $\mathcal{R}(W, G)$. For an object $(Y, r, s) \in \mathcal{R}^{\mathrm{ab}}(W, G)$ the fibres of r are ABELian groups, and $\mathcal{R}^{\mathrm{ab}}(W, G)$ is a category of presheaves on W :

1.2.1 Proposition. *The category $\mathcal{R}^{\mathrm{ab}}(W, G)$ is equivalent to the functor category $\mathrm{Fun}(\mathrm{Simp}_G(W)^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathrm{Ab})$.*

Proof. Using Proposition 1.1.3 we have a chain of equivalences of categories $\mathcal{R}^{\mathrm{ab}}(W, G) \cong \mathrm{Fun}(\mathrm{Simp}_G(W)^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathrm{Set}_*)^{\mathrm{ab}} \cong \mathrm{Fun}(\mathrm{Simp}_G(W)^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathrm{Set}_*^{\mathrm{ab}}) \cong \mathrm{Fun}(\mathrm{Simp}_G(W)^{\mathrm{op}}, \mathrm{Ab})$. $\square$

1.2.2 Definition. The *linearisation functor* $\tilde{\mathbf{Z}}_W: \mathcal{R}(W, G) \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}^{\mathrm{ab}}(W, G)$ is the left adjoint of the forgetful functor $\mathcal{R}^{\mathrm{ab}}(W, G) \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}(W, G)$.

For $W = *$ this recovers WALDHAUSEN's definition of the linearisation map [8, p. 398]. In general, the functor $\tilde{\mathbf{Z}}_W$ is given by “applying $\tilde{\mathbf{Z}}[\cdot]$ to the fibres of r ”. Here $\tilde{\mathbf{Z}}[\cdot]$ denotes the reduced free ABELian group functor given by $\tilde{\mathbf{Z}}[S] := \mathbf{Z}[S]/\mathbf{Z}[*]$ for a pointed set S . In the presheaf language, linearisation is particularly easy to describe: The functor $\tilde{\mathbf{Z}}_W$ sends $F: \mathrm{Simp}_G(W)^{\mathrm{op}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Set}_*$ to the functor

$$\tilde{\mathbf{Z}}_W(F) := \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}[\cdot] \circ F: \mathrm{Simp}_G(W)^{\mathrm{op}} \longrightarrow \mathrm{Ab}, \quad (n, w) \mapsto \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}[F(n, w)] .$$

1.2.3 Definition. An *n-simplex* or an *n-cell* in the category $\mathcal{R}^{\mathrm{ab}}(W, G)$ is an object isomorphic to $\Delta^{\mathrm{ab}}[n, w] := \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}_W(\Delta[n, w])$ where $\Delta[n, w]$ is an n -simplex in $\mathcal{R}(W, G)$. The boundary of $\Delta^{\mathrm{ab}}[n, w]$ is $\partial\Delta^{\mathrm{ab}}[n, w] := \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}_W(\partial\Delta[n, w])$. Similarly, we can define the horns $\Lambda_i^{\mathrm{ab}}[n, w] := \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}_W(\Lambda_i[n, w])$.

1.2.4 Proposition. *The equivalence of Proposition 1.1.3 identifies the n-cell $\Delta^{\mathrm{ab}}[n, w]$ with the representable functor $\tilde{\mathbf{Z}}[\mathrm{Simp}_G(W)(\cdot, (n, w))_+]$.* $\square$

1.3 Functors

From now on, we suppose that X is a connected pointed simplicial set, G is the loop group [4] of X , and $\xi: P \longrightarrow X$ is a universal G -bundle, *i.e.*, P is a weakly contractible free G -equivariant simplicial set, the map ξ is constant on G -orbits, and ξ induces an isomorphism $X \cong P/G$. An explicit construction is given in [4, Lemma 9.3].

We proceed to define the following diagram of categories:

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \mathcal{R}^{\text{ab}}(X) & \xrightarrow{\Xi^*} & \mathcal{R}^{\text{ab}}(P, G) & \xrightarrow{C} & \mathcal{R}^{\text{ab}}(*, G) \cong \mathcal{M}(\mathbf{Z}[G]) \\
 \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}_X \uparrow & & \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}_P \uparrow & & \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}_* \uparrow \\
 \mathcal{R}(X) & \xrightarrow{\Xi^*} & \mathcal{R}(P, G) & \xrightarrow{\overline{C}} & \mathcal{R}(*, G) \cong G\text{-sSet}_*
 \end{array} \quad (\dagger)$$

This diagram commutes up to natural isomorphism. Note that $\mathcal{R}(*, G)$ is the category of pointed G -equivariant simplicial sets, and that $\mathcal{R}^{\text{ab}}(*, G)$ is equivalent to the category $\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{Z}[G])$ of simplicial right $\mathbf{Z}[G]$ -modules.—The vertical arrows denote the linearisation functors as defined in §1.2.

The bundle projection $\xi: P \longrightarrow X$ determines a functor

$$\Xi: \text{Simp}_G(P)^{\text{op}} \longrightarrow \text{Simp}(X)^{\text{op}}.$$

Since G acts freely on P , this functor is actually an equivalence of categories. By pre-composition Ξ determines an equivalence of categories

$$\Xi^*: \text{Fun}(\text{Simp}(X)^{\text{op}}, \text{Set}_*) \longrightarrow \text{Fun}(\text{Simp}_G(P)^{\text{op}}, \text{Set}_*).$$

In the language of retractive spaces, Ξ^* is given by

$$\mathcal{R}(X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}(P, G), \quad Y \mapsto Y \times_X P.$$

The same description defines an equivalence of $\mathcal{R}^{\text{ab}}(X)$ and $\mathcal{R}^{\text{ab}}(P, G)$, denoted Ξ^* . By construction there is a natural isomorphism $\Xi^* \circ \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}_X \cong \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}_P \circ \Xi^*$.

The map $P \longrightarrow *$ induces a functor $\kappa: \text{Simp}_G(P)^{\text{op}} \longrightarrow \text{Simp}_G(*)^{\text{op}}$. Both C and $\overline{C}$ are defined, using the presheaf language, by left KAN extension along κ . On the level of retractive spaces, $\overline{C}: \mathcal{R}(P, G) \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}(*, G)$ is given by collapsing P , sending Y to Y/P . For ABELian group objects the collapsing functor C involves summation over the fibres of the structural retraction. Explicitly, $C(Y)_n = \bigoplus_{p \in P_n} r^{-1}(p)$ for an object $(Y, r, s) \in \mathcal{R}^{\text{ab}}(P, G)$. (An explicit description for $\overline{C}$ is given by the same expression if “ $\bigoplus$ ” is interpreted as a one-point union of pointed sets.)

2 Model Structures and Algebraic K -Theory

2.1 Categorically finite objects and finite CW objects

An object Y of a cocomplete category $\mathcal{C}$ is called *categorically finite* if the representable functor $\mathcal{C}(Y, \cdot)$ commutes with filtered colimits. We want to

compare this categorical finiteness notion with the more geometric notion of a finite CW object which is defined whenever we have a notion of “cells” in the category $\mathcal{C}$.

2.1.1 Theorem. *Let $\mathcal{C}$ be a model category. Suppose there is a set I of generating cofibrations which have categorically finite domains. Let $Y \in \mathcal{C}$ be an object. If Y is categorically finite and cofibrant, then Y is a retract of an object Z which is a finite CW object in the following sense: There is a finite filtration*

$$* = Z_0 \longrightarrow Z_1 \longrightarrow \dots \longrightarrow Z_n = Z$$

where each map $Z_k \longrightarrow Z_{k+1}$ is a pushout of a single map in I , and “ $*$ ” denotes the initial object of $\mathcal{C}$.

Conversely, if Y is a retract of a finite CW object Z , and if the maps in I have categorically finite domain and codomain, then Y is categorically finite and cofibrant.

Proof. If Y is a retract of a finite CW object then Y is certainly cofibrant. If all the maps in I have categorically finite domain and codomain, then since Z is a finite colimit of categorically finite objects Z is categorically finite, hence so is its retract Y .

To prove the first statement of the theorem, assume that Y is categorically finite and cofibrant. Since Y is cofibrant we know by QUILLEN’s small object argument [5, §II.3], [3, §10.5.16] that Y is a retract of an object C which comes equipped with a filtration

$$* = C_0 \longrightarrow C_1 \longrightarrow C_2 \longrightarrow \dots$$

with $\text{colim } C_i = C$ such that each map $C_k \longrightarrow C_{k+1}$ is a pushout of a (possibly infinite) coproduct of maps in I . In more details, the small object argument yields a factorisation of the map $* \longrightarrow Y$ as a cofibration $* \longrightarrow C$ and an acyclic fibration $C \longrightarrow Y$. Since Y is cofibrant, we can find the dotted lift in the solid square diagram below exhibiting Y as a retract of C .

$$\begin{array}{ccc} * & \xrightarrow{\quad} & C \\ \downarrow & \nearrow \scriptstyle \simeq & \downarrow \\ Y & \xrightarrow{=} & Y \end{array}$$

By construction, the following square is a pushout diagram for all $k \geq 0$:

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \coprod_{\lambda \in \Lambda_k} A_\lambda^k & \xrightarrow{\coprod f_\lambda^k} & \coprod_{\lambda \in \Lambda_k} B_\lambda^k \\ \sum g_\lambda^k \downarrow & & \downarrow \\ C_k & \xrightarrow{\quad} & C_{k+1} \end{array}$$

Here Λ_k is an index set, $f_\lambda^k: A_\lambda^k \longrightarrow B_\lambda^k$ is a map in I , and $g_\lambda^k: A_\lambda^k \longrightarrow C_k$ is the attaching map. For a subset $M \subseteq \Lambda_k$ define $C_k(M)$ by a similar pushout with indices λ ranging through M only.

Since Y is categorically finite, the section $i: Y \longrightarrow C$ factors through a finite stage C_{k+1} , $k \geq -1$, of the filtration of C . Now C_{k+1} is obtained from C_k by attaching a coproduct of cells, indexed by Λ_k . Hence C_{k+1} is the filtered colimit of the objects $C_k(M)$ where M varies over the (directed) poset of finite subsets of Λ_k . Thus there exists a finite subset $M_k \subseteq \Lambda_k$ and a factorisation of i as $Y \longrightarrow C_k(M_k) \longrightarrow C$.

Since all maps in I have categorically finite domain by hypothesis, the object $\coprod_{\lambda \in M_k} A_\lambda^k$ is categorically finite. By a similar argument as above, the attaching map $h: \coprod_{\lambda \in M_k} A_\lambda^k \longrightarrow C_k$ factors through $C_{k-1}(M')$ for some finite subset $M' \subseteq \Lambda_{k-1}$. Thus h factors compatibly through the objects $C_{k-1}(M)$ where M is a finite subset of Λ_{k-1} containing M' . Consequently, $C_{k-1}(M)(M_k)$ is defined for such M , and $C_k(M_k)$ is the filtered colimit of the $C_{k-1}(M)(M_k)$. Thus there exists a finite subset $M_{k-1} \subseteq \Lambda_{k-1}$ containing M' and a factorisation of i as $Y \longrightarrow C_{k-1}(M_{k-1})(M_k) \longrightarrow C$.

Iterating this argument shows that Y is a retract of an object that can be obtained from the initial object $*$ by attaching cells indexed by the finite set $\coprod_{i=0}^k M_i$, hence Y is a retract of a finite CW object as claimed. $\square$

2.2 Model structure and simplicial structure of $\mathcal{R}(W, G)$

For the rest of the paper, we will use the notational conventions of §1.3. That is, X is a connected pointed simplicial set with loop group G , and $P \longrightarrow X$ is a universal G -bundle.

The category $\mathcal{R}(W, G)$ admits the structure of a simplicial model category where a map $f: (Y, r, s) \longrightarrow (Y', r', s')$ is a weak equivalence (*resp.*, a fibration) if and only if the underlying map $Y \longrightarrow Y'$ of simplicial sets is a weak equivalence (*resp.*, a KAN fibration). This model structure is cofibrantly generated; a set of generating cofibrations is given by the inclusion maps

$$\partial\Delta[n, w] \longrightarrow \Delta[n, w] \quad \text{for } n \geq 0, w \in W_n,$$

and a set of generating acyclic cofibrations is given by the inclusion maps

$$\Lambda_i[n, w] \longrightarrow \Delta[n, w] \quad \text{for } n \geq 0, w \in W_n, 0 \leq i \leq n.$$

Since these maps have categorically finite domain and codomain, Theorem 2.1.1 completely characterises categorically finite cofibrant objects in $\mathcal{R}(W, G)$.

Given $(Y, r, s) \in \mathcal{R}(W, G)$ and a simplicial set K the object $(Y, r, s) \otimes K$ is given by the pushout $(Y \times K) \cup_{(W \times K)} W$ (where the map $W \times K \longrightarrow W$ is the projection), equipped with the obvious structure maps to and from W . In particular, if $Y = \Delta[n, w]$ is an equivariant n -cell (1.1.4), then $(Y, r, s) \otimes K$ is the object

$$(\Delta^n \times K \times G) \amalg W \longrightarrow W$$

with retraction induced by projection onto Δ^n and the characteristic map of $w \in W_n$, and section given by inclusion into the second summand. This shows:

2.2.1 Lemma. *If $(Y, r, s) \in \mathcal{R}(W, G)$ is a finite colimit of cells (representable functors), so is $(Y, r, s) \otimes \Delta^k$ for all $k \geq 0$.* $\square$

2.3 Simplicial structure of $\mathcal{R}^{\text{ab}}(W, G)$

For two objects $Y, Z \in \mathcal{R}^{\text{ab}}(W, G)$ we define their tensor product $Y \otimes Z$ by taking fibrewise tensor product of ABELian groups. In the presheaf language this is the functor $Y \otimes Z: \text{Simp}_G(W)^{\text{op}} \longrightarrow \text{Ab}$, $(n, w) \mapsto Y(n, w) \otimes Z(n, w)$.

A simplicial set K defines an object $(W \times K) \amalg W$ of $\mathcal{R}(W, G)$ with structural retraction induced by projection onto W . Given an object $Y \in \mathcal{R}^{\text{ab}}(W, G)$ we define

$$Y \otimes K := Y \otimes \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}_W((W \times K) \amalg W) ,$$

this determines the simplicial structure of $\mathcal{R}^{\text{ab}}(W, G)$. There is a natural isomorphism

$$\tilde{\mathbf{Z}}_W((Y, r, s) \otimes K) \cong \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}_W(Y, r, s) \otimes K$$

for all $(Y, r, s) \in \mathcal{R}(W, G)$ and simplicial sets K . In particular, $\Delta^{\text{ab}}[n, w] \otimes \Delta^k$ is a finite colimit of cells (representable functors). More generally, we have:

2.3.1 Lemma. *If $(Y, r, s) \in \mathcal{R}^{\text{ab}}(W, G)$ is a finite colimit of cells (representable functors), so is $(Y, r, s) \otimes \Delta^k$ for all $k \geq 0$.* $\square$

2.4 Model structure of $\mathcal{R}^{\text{ab}}(W, G)$

Precomposition with the inclusion of categories $\iota: \text{Simp}(W) \longrightarrow \text{Simp}_G(W)$ induces functors $\overline{V}: \mathcal{R}(W, G) \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}(W)$ and $V: \mathcal{R}^{\text{ab}}(W, G) \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}^{\text{ab}}(W)$ which forget the G -action on retractive spaces. The left adjoints of these are given by left KAN extension along ι . Explicitly, the left adjoint of $\overline{V}$ is described by

$$\overline{G}_*: \mathcal{R}(W) \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}(W, G), \quad Y \mapsto (Y \times G) \cup_{(W \times G)} W$$

(pushout along the action $W \times G \longrightarrow W$ of G on W), and a similar formula describes G_* , the left adjoint of V . By general properties of adjoints, linearisation commutes (up to canonical isomorphism) with G_* and $\overline{G}_*$. This implies:

2.4.1 Lemma. *The functors G_* and $\overline{G}_*$ preserve cells, boundaries of cells and horns. More explicitly, $\overline{G}_*(\Delta[n, w]) \cong \Delta[n, w]$ and $G_*(\Delta^{\text{ab}}[n, w]) \cong \Delta^{\text{ab}}[n, w]$, and similarly for boundaries and horns.* $\square$

Given an object $Y \in \text{Fun}(\text{Simp}_G(W)^{\text{op}}, \text{Ab}) \cong \mathcal{R}^{\text{ab}}(W, G)$ we define a simplicial ABELian group $\bigoplus_W Y$ which in degree n is given by $(\bigoplus_W Y)_n := \bigoplus Y(n, w)$, the sum ranging over all n -simplices of W (i.e., objects of the form (n, w) of $\text{Simp}(W)$). Given Y and an object $K \in \mathcal{R}(W)$ we define

$$\tilde{H}_*(K; Y) := \pi_* \bigoplus_W (\tilde{\mathbf{Z}}_W K \otimes Y) .$$

(Strictly speaking one should write $V(Y)$ instead of Y in this formula.) The construction is functorial in K and Y .

2.4.2 Theorem. *The category $\mathcal{R}^{\text{ab}}(W, G)$ admits a simplicial model structure where a map f is a weak equivalence if and only if $\tilde{H}_*(K; f)$ is an isomorphism for all fibrant objects $K \in \mathcal{R}(W)$, and f is a cofibration if and only if it has the left lifting property with respect to all morphisms g such that the underlying map of g is an acyclic fibration of simplicial sets.*

For $W = *$ this recovers the usual model structure for simplicial $\mathbf{Z}[G]$ -modules [5, §II.6].—The Theorem equips all the categories in the upper row of (†) with model structures.

Proof. If G is the trivial group this is the main result of [2, §5]. For the general case, we can reformulate the definitions of weak equivalences and cofibrations. Namely, a map f is a weak equivalence if and only if $V(f)$ is a weak equivalence in $\mathcal{R}^{\text{ab}}(W)$, and f is a cofibration if and only if it has the left lifting property with respect to all morphisms g such that $V(g)$ is an acyclic fibration in $\mathcal{R}^{\text{ab}}(W)$. Using this, the proof follows the pattern of [2, 5.7] with virtually no changes. For the BOUSFIELD argument in [2, Proposition 5.8] the cardinal c has to be at least as large as the cardinality of G and the cardinality of the total space of the path fibration in Lemma 4.8 of [2]. $\square$

2.4.3 Corollary. *The set of inclusions*

$$\partial\Delta^{\text{ab}}[n, w] \longrightarrow \Delta^{\text{ab}}[n, w] \in \mathcal{R}^{\text{ab}}(W, G)$$

is a set of generating cofibrations for the model structure of Theorem 2.4.2. $\square$

Since the maps of the Corollary have categorically finite domain and codomain, Theorem 2.1.1 completely characterises categorically finite cofibrant objects in $\mathcal{R}^{\text{ab}}(W, G)$.

We return to the diagram (†). Recall the notational conventions from the beginning of §1.3.

2.4.4 Proposition. *The functor $\Xi^*: \mathcal{R}^{\text{ab}}(X) \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}^{\text{ab}}(P, G)$ preserves and detects weak equivalences. In particular, it is the left adjoint of a QUILLEN equivalence.*

Proof. Let $f: Y \longrightarrow Z$ be a morphism in $\mathcal{R}^{\text{ab}}(X)$. By [2, Proposition 4.8] the map f is a weak equivalence if and only if the map of simplicial ABELIAN groups

$$\bigoplus_X \left(\tilde{\mathbf{Z}}_X(P \amalg X) \otimes Y \right) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_X \left(\tilde{\mathbf{Z}}_X(P \amalg X) \otimes Z \right)$$

is a weak homotopy equivalence (we consider $P \amalg X$ as an object of $\mathcal{R}(X)$ in the obvious way). But this map can also be described as the map

$$\bigoplus_P (P \times_X Y) \longrightarrow \bigoplus_P (P \times_X Z)$$

induced by $\Xi^*(f)$. The domain of this map can be rewritten as

$$\bigoplus_P \left(\tilde{\mathbf{Z}}_P(P \amalg P) \otimes (P \times_X Y) \right) = \bigoplus_P \left(\tilde{\mathbf{Z}}_P(P \amalg P) \otimes \Xi^*(Y) \right),$$

and similarly for the codomain. By [2, Proposition 4.8] again, applied to the path fibration $P \xrightarrow{=} P$, this map is a weak homotopy equivalence if and only if $\Xi^*(f)$ is a weak equivalence

The rest of the proposition follows easily since Ξ^* is an equivalence of categories. $\square$

Let T denote the right adjoint of C . It is given by

$$T: \mathcal{R}^{\text{ab}}(*, G) \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}^{\text{ab}}(P, G), \quad M \mapsto M \times P$$

with structural section given by $P = \{0\} \times P \longrightarrow M \times P$, and retraction given by projection onto P . In the presheaf language, T is given by precomposition with $\kappa: \text{Simp}_G(P)^{\text{op}} \longrightarrow \text{Simp}_G(*)^{\text{op}}$.—The functor T preserves all colimits (because T itself has a right adjoint, sending (Y, r, s) to the space of all non-equivariant sections of r).

2.4.5 Proposition. *The two functors*

$$C: \mathcal{R}^{\text{ab}}(P, G) \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}^{\text{ab}}(*, G) \quad \text{and} \quad T: \mathcal{R}^{\text{ab}}(*, G) \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}^{\text{ab}}(P, G)$$

preserve and detect weak equivalences. The unit and counit of the adjunction of C and T are natural weak equivalences. In particular, C is the left adjoint of a QUILLEN equivalence.

Proof. The functor C preserves and detects weak equivalences by [2, Proposition 4.8], applied to the path fibration $P \xrightarrow{=} P$.

The counit of the adjunction of C and T is given by the natural map

$$\epsilon_M: C \circ T(M) \cong \mathbf{Z}[P] \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} M \longrightarrow \mathbf{Z}[*] \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} M \cong M \quad \text{for } M \in \mathcal{R}^{\text{ab}}(*, G)$$

induced by $P \longrightarrow *$. Since P is weakly contractible, the map $f: \mathbf{Z}[P] \longrightarrow \mathbf{Z}[*]$ is a weak equivalence. But both domain and codomain are free simplicial ABELIAN groups, thus the map is in fact a homotopy equivalence in the strong sense: A choice of a basepoint in P determines a map $g: \mathbf{Z}[*] \longrightarrow \mathbf{Z}[P]$ with $f \circ g = \text{id}_{\mathbf{Z}[*]}$, and there exists a homotopy $H: \mathbf{Z}[\Delta^1] \otimes_{\mathbf{Z}} \mathbf{Z}[P] \longrightarrow \mathbf{Z}[P]$ from $\text{id}_{\mathbf{Z}[P]}$ to $g \circ f$. This implies that $\epsilon_M = f \otimes \text{id}_M$ is a homotopy equivalence of simplicial ABELIAN groups with homotopy inverse $g \otimes \text{id}_M$ and homotopy $H \otimes \text{id}_M$ from $\text{id}_{\mathbf{Z}[P] \otimes M}$ to $(g \otimes \text{id}_M) \circ (f \otimes \text{id}_M)$. In particular, ϵ_M induces isomorphisms on homotopy groups and hence is a weak equivalence in $\mathcal{R}^{\text{ab}}(*, G)$. Moreover, since C preserves and detects weak equivalences this implies that T preserves and detects weak equivalences as well.

By adjointness, the composite $C \xrightarrow{C(\eta)} C \circ T \circ C \xrightarrow{\epsilon T} C$ is the identity, with η the unit of the adjunction. Since $\epsilon_{T(M)}$ is a weak equivalence by the above, so is $C(\eta_M)$, hence η_M is a weak equivalence. $\square$

2.5 Algebraic K-theory

2.5.1 Lemma. *All the functors in the diagram (†) preserve cofibrant categorically finite objects.*

Proof. This follows immediately from Theorem 2.1.1 together with the observation that all functors in (†) preserve representable functors and colimits. $\square$

An object Y of a model category $\mathcal{C}$ is called *categorically finite up to homotopy* if there is a chain of weak equivalences connecting Y and a cofibrant categorically finite object. The full subcategories of cofibrant objects which are categorically finite and categorically finite up to homotopy, respectively, are denoted by $\mathcal{C}_{\text{cf}}$ and $\mathcal{C}_{\text{hcf}}$.

2.5.2 Proposition. 1. *The functors in the diagram $(\dagger)$ induce, by restriction, the following diagram of categories:*

$$\begin{array}{ccccc}
 \mathcal{R}^{\text{ab}}(X)_{\text{hcf}} & \xrightarrow{\Xi^*} & \mathcal{R}^{\text{ab}}(P, G)_{\text{hcf}} & \xrightarrow{C} & \mathcal{R}^{\text{ab}}(*, G)_{\text{hcf}} \\
 \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}_X \uparrow & & \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}_P \uparrow & & \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}_* \uparrow \\
 \mathcal{R}(X)_{\text{hcf}} & \xrightarrow{\Xi} & \mathcal{R}(P, G)_{\text{hcf}} & \xrightarrow{\bar{C}} & \mathcal{R}(*, G)_{\text{hcf}}
 \end{array} \quad (*_{\text{hcf}})$$

There is also a similar diagram, denoted $(*_{\text{cf}})$, using categorically finite objects throughout. Both are diagrams of categories with cofibrations and weak equivalences, and exact functors.

2. *The inclusion of the diagram $(*_{\text{cf}})$ into $(*_{\text{hcf}})$ induces a homotopy equivalence of K -theory spaces for each entry.*

Proof. (1) It has been observed before that all the functors in the diagram $(\dagger)$ preserve categorical finiteness of cofibrant objects (Lemma 2.5.1), weak equivalences and cofibrations, hence they preserve cofibrancy and categorical homotopy finiteness. All the categories are categories with cofibrations and weak equivalences; the only non-trivial thing to verify is that for a diagram $A \longleftarrow B \xrightarrow{i} C$ of objects categorically finite up to homotopy with i a cofibration, the pushout $A \cup_B C$ is categorically finite up to homotopy. This follows from [6, Proposition 3.2]. The hypothesis that $\cdot \otimes \Delta^1$ preserves finite objects is verified in Lemmas 2.2.1 and 2.3.1. Note that the Proposition applies to $\mathcal{R}^{\text{ab}}(X)$ (and hence to the equivalent category $\mathcal{R}^{\text{ab}}(P, G)$) although we do not have a set of generating acyclic cofibrations. There is a fibrant replacement functor defined by the process of “filling (linearised) horns” [2, Proposition 4.6]; this is enough for the proof of [6, Proposition 3.2].

(2) This is part of [6, Proposition 3.2]. $\square$

2.5.3 Lemma. *The functor T (defined in §2.4) preserves cofibrations, weak equivalences between cofibrant objects, and categorically homotopy finite cofibrant objects.*

Proof. The functor T preserves all weak equivalences by Proposition 2.4.5.

Taking product with P defines a functor $\bar{T}: \mathcal{R}(*, G) \longrightarrow \mathcal{R}(P, G)$ with $\tilde{\mathbf{Z}}_P \circ \bar{T} = T \circ \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}_*$ (on the level of presheaves, both T and $\bar{T}$ are giving by precomposition with $\kappa: \text{Simp}_G(P)^{\text{op}} \longrightarrow \text{Simp}_G(*)^{\text{op}}$). Since P has a free G -action, the functor $\bar{T}$ maps generating cofibrations to cofibrations. Since the generating cofibrations in $\mathcal{R}^{\text{ab}}(*, G)$ are the images of the generating cofibrations in $\mathcal{R}(*, G)$ under the functor $\tilde{\mathbf{Z}}_*$, and since $\tilde{\mathbf{Z}}_P$ preserves cofibrations, this implies that T preserves cofibrations.

To prove that T preserves cofibrant objects which are categorically finite up to homotopy, it is enough to show that T maps finite CW objects (2.1.1) to objects categorically finite up to homotopy. We first consider the effect of T on a single cell $M := \tilde{\mathbf{Z}}_*[(\Delta^n \times G)_+] \in \mathcal{R}^{\text{ab}}(*, G)$. Choose a simplex $p \in P_n$. Since C preserves cells (representable functors) we have $C(\Delta^{\text{ab}}[n, p]) \cong M$, and the counit defines a weak equivalence (Proposition 2.4.5)

$$T(M) \cong T \circ C(\Delta^{\text{ab}}[n, p]) \xrightarrow{\epsilon} \Delta^{\text{ab}}[n, p]$$

which proves that $T(M)$ is categorically finite up to homotopy.

Given a pushout diagram $A \longleftarrow B \xrightarrow{i} C$ of cofibrant objects in $\mathcal{R}^{\text{ab}}(*, G)$ with i a cofibration, then if $T(A)$, $T(B)$ and $T(C)$ are categorically finite up to homotopy, so is $T(A \cup_B C) \cong T(A) \cup_{T(B)} T(C)$ since $\mathcal{R}^{\text{ab}}(P, G)_{\text{hcf}}$ is closed under pushouts by Proposition 2.5.2 (1). Since the boundary of a cell is a finite colimit of cells of lower dimensions, the result now follows by a double induction on n and the number of cells. $\square$

2.5.4 Theorem. *All horizontal functors in the diagrams $(*)_{\text{cf}}$ and $(*)_{\text{hcf}}$ induce equivalences of K -theory spaces. In particular, the composite $C \circ \Xi^*$ (§1.3) induces a homotopy equivalence $K(\mathcal{M}(\mathbf{Z}[G])_{\text{cf}}) \simeq \Omega|\mathcal{S}_\bullet h\mathcal{R}^{\text{ab}}(X)_{\text{cf}}|$, and the linearisation functor $\tilde{\mathbf{Z}}_X$ induces a map*

$$A(X) \longrightarrow \Omega|\mathcal{S}_\bullet h\mathcal{R}^{\text{ab}}(X)_{\text{cf}}|$$

which is identified by $C \circ \Xi^$ and $\overline{C} \circ \overline{\Xi}^*$ with the usual linearisation map in algebraic K -theory [8, p. 398] defined using $\tilde{\mathbf{Z}}_*$.*

Proof. First observe that $\mathcal{R}(X)_{\text{cf}} = \mathcal{R}_{\text{f}}(X)$, the latter being the full subcategory of $\mathcal{R}(X)$ whose objects are obtained from X by attaching finitely many cells [8, §2.1]. In fact, for $Y \in \mathcal{R}(X)_{\text{cf}}$ we know by Theorem 2.1.1 that Y/X contains finitely many non-degenerate simplices, and we can reconstruct Y by attaching these simplices to X (no retracts necessary). Thus $\Omega|h\mathcal{S}_\bullet \mathcal{R}(X)_{\text{cf}}| = A(X)$.

For the remaining statements, it suffices by Proposition 2.5.2 (2) to consider the diagram $(*)_{\text{hcf}}$. The functors $\overline{\Xi}^*$ and Ξ^* are exact equivalences of categories, and $\overline{C}$ induces a homotopy equivalence on K -theory spaces by the argument of [8, Proposition 2.1.4]: Since $P \simeq *$, the functor $\overline{T}$ (cf. Proof of Lemma 2.5.3) provides a homotopy inverse.

We are left to consider the functor C . By the previous lemma the right adjoint T of C induces a map on K -theory spaces. Since unit and counit of the adjunction are both weak equivalences by Proposition 2.4.5, T and C induce mutually inverse homotopy equivalences on K -theory spaces. $\square$

Acknowledgements

The author has to thank S. SAGAVE and O. RENAUDIN for helpful discussions. The referee's comments helped significantly to improve the exposition of the paper.

References

- [1] A. K. Bousfield and D. M. Kan. *Homotopy limits, completions and localizations*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1972. Lecture Notes in Mathematics, Vol. 304.
- [2] W. G. Dwyer and D. M. Kan. Homology with simplicial coefficients. In *Algebraic topology (Arcata, CA, 1986)*, volume 1370 of *Lecture Notes in Math.*, pages 143–149. Springer, Berlin, 1989.
- [3] Philip S. Hirschhorn. *Model categories and their localizations*, volume 99 of *Mathematical Surveys and Monographs*. American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2003.
- [4] Daniel M. Kan. A combinatorial definition of homotopy groups. *Ann. of Math. (2)*, 67:282–312, 1958.
- [5] Daniel G. Quillen. *Homotopical algebra*. Springer-Verlag, Berlin, 1967.
- [6] Steffen Sagave. On the algebraic K -theory of model categories. *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 190(1-3):329–340, 2004.
- [7] Robert W. Thomason. First quadrant spectral sequences in algebraic K -theory via homotopy colimits. *Comm. Algebra*, 10(15):1589–1668, 1982.
- [8] Friedhelm Waldhausen. Algebraic K -theory of spaces. In Andrew Ranicki, N. Levitt, and F. Quinn, editors, *Algebraic and Geometric Topology*, number 1126 in *Lecture Notes in Mathematics*, pages 318–419, Rutgers, 1983. Springer-Verlag.